

ATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O	Box 14	150		
Ale:	kandria,	Virginia	22313-1450	
יעועו	חלחפוו עו	my		

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/428,134	10/26/1999	JASMIN AJANOVIC	042390.P6341	4288	
7590 04/19/2004 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER		
			LEFKOWITZ, SUMATI		
SEVENTH FLO	RE BOULEVARD OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 900251026		2112	0.3	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	140	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				\mathcal{O}
	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/4:	28,134	AJANOVIC ET AL.	•
Office Action Summa	ry Exam	niner	Art Unit	
	Suma	ati Lefkowitz	2112	
The MAILING DATE of this c				ress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pre after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period to Any reply received by the Office later than three re earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76	MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In its communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within thimum statutory period will apply: for reply will, by statute, cause the nonths after the mailing date of the statute.	no event, however, may be statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Modele application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nmunication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>02 April 200</u>	<u>04</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in con-		•	• •	merits is
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex parte	e Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)	_ is/are withdrawn fron <u>/ 37-66</u> is/are rejected. I to.	n consideration.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on i	s/are: a) accepted	or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that an				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) ind				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None	e of:		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the p			A 11 11 A1	
2. Conjugate the partition of			· · · — —	\ \
3. Copies of the certified coapplication from the Inte	•		en received in this National S	itage
* See the attached detailed Office	·	, ,,	nt received	
		ooramod oopioo na		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			v Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Su	mmary	Part of Paper No./N	Mail Date 30

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-35, and 37-66 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-35, and 37-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell, 6,088,370 in view of Woods et al., 6,101,566.

As to claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-35, and 37-66, Bell discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as Bell discloses an interface to transfer data directly between a memory control hub (MCH) and an input/output control hub (ICH) within a computer system, comprising a data

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134

Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

signal path to transmit data in packets via split transactions, and a set of command signals, wherein the interface provides a point-to-point connection between the MCH and the ICH, exclusive of an external bus connected directly to the interface, wherein information is transmitted in source synchronous clock mode via request and completion packets including transaction descriptors (note Figures 1 and 2A and column 2, line 15 – column 3, line 25, wherein Bell discloses in column 2, lines 20-25 that the controller 115 can function as a bridge between a memory bus to which one or more memory devices are connected. Examiner is taking the controller operating in this manner to be the memory control hub. Bell also discloses in column 2, lines 25-32 that the bus expander bridge 120 is coupled to two PCI buses 122 and 123, both of which have PCI devices coupled thereto. Examiner is taking the PCI devices coupled to the PCI buses to be I/O devices, and therefore the expander bridge 120 reads on the input/output control hub (ICH).)

Bell fails to disclose that the ICH is capable of supporting multiple different buses with separate protocols.

Woods discloses a bridge (i.e., ICH) that is capable of supporting multiple, different buses with separate protocols (note Figure 2, bridges 100 and 70).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of a bridge capable of supporting multiple different buses, as Woods teaches, in the system of Bell so as to allow for the accommodation of devices of various types, thereby enhancing the flexibility of the system of Bell.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134

Art Unit: 2112

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 4

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumati Lefkowitz whose telephone number is 703-308-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached at 703-305-4815.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

703-746-7238 for After-Final communications

703-872-9306 for Official communications

703-746-5661 for Non-Official/Draft communications

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Sumati Lefkowitz
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2112

sl

April 16, 2004